Case 1:11-cr-00026-LJO Document 243 Filed 11/07/13 Page 1 of 15

```
1
    BENJAMIN B. WAGNER
    United States Attorney
2
    KIRK E. SHERRIFF
    HENRY Z. CARBAJAL III
3
    CHRISTOPHER D. BAKER
    Assistant U.S. Attorneys
    2500 Tulare Street, Suite 4401
    Fresno, California 93721
    Telephone: (559) 497-4000
 5
    Facsimile: (559) 497-4099
 6
    Attorneys for the
 7
    United States of America
 8
                      IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 9
                         EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10
11
                                    ) CASE NO.: 1:11-CR-00026 LJO
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                    ) MEMORANDUM OF PLEA AGREEMENT
12
                     Plaintiff,
                                    ) PURSUANT TO RULE 11(C) OF THE
                                    ) FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE
13
          v.
14
    MICHAEL ANGELO MUNOZ,
                                    ) DATE:
                                    ) TIME:
15
                     Defendant.
                                     CTRM:
16
```

Pursuant to Rule 11(c) of the Federal Rules of Criminal
Procedure, the United States of America, by and through Benjamin B.
Wagner, the United States Attorney for the Eastern District of
California, and Assistant United States Attorneys Kirk E. Sherriff,
Henry Z. Carbajal III, and Christopher D. Baker; and Defendant,
MICHAEL ANGELO MUNOZ, and his attorney, Carl Faller, have agreed as

1. Scope of Agreement

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

follows:

This document contains the complete Memorandum of Plea Agreement ("Plea Agreement") between the United States Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of California ("Government") and defendant MICHAEL

Memorandum of Plea Agreement

Case 1:11-cr-00026-LJO Document 243 Filed 11/07/13 Page 2 of 15

ANGELO MUNOZ regarding this case. This Plea Agreement is limited to the United States Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of California and cannot bind any other federal, state, or local prosecuting, administrative, or regulatory authorities.

2. Charges

Defendant MICHAEL ANGELO MUNOZ acknowledges that he has been charged in the Indictment with nine (9) counts, as follows:

COUNT 1: Conspiracy to Commit Mail Fraud, Wire Fraud, and
Bank Fraud, in violation of Title 18, United
States Code, Section 1349;

COUNTS 20, 26-29: Mail Fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341;

COUNTS 54-55: Bank Fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344; and

COUNT 56: Conspiracy to Launder Money, in violation of Title

18, United States Code, Section 1956(h).

3. Nature, Elements and Possible Defenses

The defendant has read the charges against him contained in the indictment, and those charges have been fully explained to him by his attorney. Further, the defendant fully understands the nature and elements of the crimes in Counts 26 and 28 of the Indictment to which he is pleading guilty, together with the possible defenses thereto, and has discussed them with his attorney.

The elements of the crime of Mail Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1341, are:

First, the defendant knowingly participated in a scheme or plan to defraud, or a scheme or plan for obtaining money or property by false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises;

 Second, the statements made or facts omitted as part of the scheme were material; that is, they had a natural tendency to influence, or were capable of influencing, a person to part with money or property;

Third, the defendant acted with the intent to defraud; that is, the intent to deceive or cheat; and

Fourth, the defendant used, or caused to be used, the mails or any private or commercial interstate carrier to carry out or attempt to carry out an essential part of the scheme.

4. Agreements by the Defendant

- (a) Defendant agrees that this Plea Agreement shall be filed with the court and become a part of the record of the case.
- (b) Defendant agrees to enter a plea of guilty to Counts 26 and 28 of the Indictment, which charge him with Mail Fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341.
- (c) Defendant understands and agrees that he will not be allowed to withdraw his plea should the Court fail to follow the Government's sentencing recommendations.
- (d) Defendant knowingly and voluntarily waives his

 Constitutional and statutory rights to appeal his plea, conviction,
 restitution imposed, forfeiture order and sentence. This waiver of
 appeal includes, but is not limited to, an express waiver of
 defendant's right to appeal his plea, conviction, restitution imposed,
 forfeiture order and sentence on any ground, including any appeal
 right conferred by 18 U.S.C. § 3742, and defendant further agrees not
 to contest his plea, conviction, restitution imposed, forfeiture order
 and sentence in any post-conviction proceeding, including but not
 limited to a proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 2255.
- (e) Defendant further acknowledges that his plea of guilty is voluntary and that no force, threats, promises or representations have been made to anybody, nor agreement reached, other than those set

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

2728

forth expressly in this agreement, to induce the defendant to plead guilty.

- (f) Defendant agrees that his base offense level for Mail Fraud, is seven (7) pursuant to Section 2B1.1(a) of the United States

 Sentencing Commission Guidelines Manual ("Sentencing Guidelines"),
 plus sixteen (16) levels for loss more than \$1,000,000 but less than
 \$2,500,000 (§ 2B1.1(b)(1)(I)).
- (g) Defendant agrees not to move for any downward adjustments in his offense level under either Chapters Two, Three, Four and/or Five of the Sentencing Guidelines. The defendant also agrees not to move for a downward variance of his sentence under the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553. The defendant understands and agrees that this agreement by him includes, without limitation, not moving for a downward departure and/or variance of his offense level, criminal history category or criminal history points as defined by the Sentencing Guidelines. Additionally, the defendant agrees that the application of the Sentencing Guidelines to his case results in a reasonable sentence and that the defendant will not request that the court apply the sentencing factors under 18 U.S.C. § 3553 to arrive at a sentence different than that called for under the Sentencing Guidelines. The defendant acknowledges that, if the defendant requests or suggests in any manner a different sentence than what is called for under the Sentencing Guidelines, the Government, at its sole discretion, may withdraw from this plea agreement and continue with its prosecution of the defendant as if the parties had not entered into this Plea Agreement.
- (h) Defendant understands that the Court must consult the Sentencing Guidelines (as promulgated by the Sentencing Commission

13

14

15

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

and United States v. Fanfa \underline{n} , 543 U.S. 220 (2005)), and must take them into account when determining a final sentence. Defendant understands that the Court will determine a non-binding and advisory guideline sentencing range for this case pursuant to the Sentencing Guidelines. Defendant further understands that the Court will consider whether there is a basis for departure from the guideline sentencing range (either above or below the guideline sentencing range) because there exists an aggravating or mitigating circumstance of a kind, or to a degree, not adequately taken into consideration by the Sentencing Commission in formulating the Guidelines. Defendant further understands that the Court, after consultation and consideration of the Sentencing Guidelines, must impose a sentence that is reasonable in light of the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a).

- Defendant agrees to waive all rights under the "Hyde Amendment," Section 617, P.L. 105-119 (Nov. 26, 1997), to recover attorneys' fees or other litigation expenses in connection with the investigation and prosecution of all charges in the above-captioned matter and of any related allegations (including without limitation any charges to be dismissed pursuant to this Plea Agreement and any charges previously dismissed).
- Defendant agrees that his conduct is governed by the Mandatory Restitution Act pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3663A(c)(1)(A)(ii) and agrees to pay the full amount of restitution as ordered by the court to all victims affected by these offenses, including but not limited to the victims covered in the factual basis, victims covered in those counts to be dismissed as part of the plea agreement pursuant

 to 18 U.S.C. § 3663A(a)(3) and other victims as a result of the defendant's conduct for the offenses charged from the periods of January 2004 through September 2007, and in an amount determined by the court at sentencing.

- (k) Defendant agrees to make a full and complete disclosure of defendant's assets and financial condition, and will complete the United States Attorney's Office's "Authorization to Release Information" and "Financial Affidavit" within five (5) weeks from the entry of the defendant's change of plea. The defendant also agrees to have the court to enter an order to that effect. The defendant understands that this plea agreement is voidable by the Government if the defendant fails to complete and provide the described documentation to the United States Attorney's Office within the allotted time.
- (1) Defendant agrees to forfeit to the United States voluntarily and immediately all right, title, and interest to any and all assets seized pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 981(a)(1)(C), 981(a)(1)(D), 982(a)(2), 28 U.S.C. § 2461, and Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(b)(1).

Those assets include, but are not limited to, the following: a personal forfeiture money judgment in an amount of \$1,931,762.09

Further, the defendant agrees that that amount is a reasonable reflection of the amount that the defendant obtained directly or indirectly, as the result of the underlying criminal scheme and the violations of 18 U.S.C. §\$ 1341, 18 U.S.C. §\$ 981(a)(1)(C), 981(a)(1)(D), 982(a)(2), and 28 U.S.C. § 2461. As part of the imposition of the personal forfeiture money judgment, the defendant agrees to provide this Office with signed waivers related to federal and state income tax returns, and a waiver of the Right to Financial

Case 1:11-cr-00026-LJO Document 243 Filed 11/07/13 Page 7 of 15

Privacy Act, which includes, but is not limited to, any credit records, communication records, DMV records, educational records, employment records, military records, business records, and credit reports maintained by any consumer credit reporting entity, until such time as the money judgment is satisfied. In this regard, the Defendant agrees to complete and sign a copy of IRS Form 8821 (relating to the voluntary disclosure of federal tax return information), whatever financial information disclosure form which may be required by an agency, as well as this Office's Right to Financial Privacy Act Waiver & Authorization to Release Information form.

Defendant agrees to fully assist the Government in the forfeiture of any seized assets or assets later determined to be forfeitable and to take whatever steps are necessary to pass clear title to the United States. Defendant shall not sell, transfer, convey, or otherwise dispose of any assets found to be connected to the criminal events charged in the Indictment.

Defendant agrees not to file a claim to any of the seized property in any criminal proceeding or civil proceeding, administrative or judicial, which is or may be initiated. Defendant agrees to waive right to notice of any forfeiture proceeding involving such property, and agrees to not file a claim or assist others in filing a claim in such a proceeding.

The defendant waives the notice provisions of Fed. R. Crim. P. 7(c) and 32.2(a), waives oral pronouncement of forfeiture at the time of sentencing and any defects in such pronouncement that pertain to forfeiture, and waives any defenses to forfeiture, including any defense predicated on the Ex Post Facto, Double Jeopardy, and Excessive Fines Clauses of the United States Constitution. The

defendant knowingly and voluntarily waives any right to jury trial in any criminal or civil forfeiture proceeding.

(m) If the defendant's conviction on one or more of the Counts to which he is pleading is ever vacated at the defendant's request, or his sentence is ever reduced at his request, the Government shall have the right to: (1) prosecute the defendant on any of the counts to which he pleaded guilty; (2) reinstate any counts that may be dismissed under this agreement; and (3) file any new charges that would otherwise be barred by this agreement. The decision to pursue any or all of these options is solely in the discretion of the United States Attorney's Office. By signing this agreement, the defendant agrees to waive any objections, motions, and defenses he might have to the Government's decision, including Double Jeopardy. In particular, he agrees not to raise any objections based on the passage of time with respect to such counts including, without limitation, any statutes of limitation or any objections based on the Speedy Trial Act or the Speedy Trial Clause of the Sixth Amendment.

If it is determined that the defendant has violated any provision of this Agreement or if the defendant successfully moves to withdraw his plea: (1) all statements made by the defendant to the government or other designated law enforcement agents, or any testimony given by the defendant before a grand jury or other tribunal, whether before or after his Agreement, shall be admissible in evidence in any criminal, civil, or administrative proceedings hereafter brought against the defendant; and (2) the defendant shall assert no claim under the United States Constitution, any statute, the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, Rule 410 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, or any other federal rule, that statements made by the defendant before or after

1

4

5

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27

28

this Agreement, or any leads derived therefrom, should be suppressed. By signing this Agreement, the defendant waives any and all rights in the foregoing respects.

5. Agreements by the Government

- The Government will recommend a two-level reduction (if the (a) offense level is less than 16) or a three-level reduction (if the offense level reaches 16) in the computation of his offense level if the defendant clearly demonstrates acceptance of responsibility for his conduct as defined in Section 3E1.1 of the United States Sentencing Commission Guidelines Manual ("Sentencing Guidelines").
- The government agrees that the defendant's base offense level for Mail Fraud is seven (7) pursuant to Section 2B1.1(a) of the United States Sentencing Commission Guidelines Manual ("Sentencing Guidelines"), plus sixteen (16) levels for loss more than \$1,000,000 but less than \$2,500,000 (§ 2B1.1(b)(1)(I)).
- The Government agrees to recommend that the defendant be (C) sentenced to a term of imprisonment at the low end of the applicable quideline range as determined by the Court, and to a three (3) year term of supervised release. The Government further agrees to recommend that the sentences for Counts 26 and 28 run concurrently.
- The Government agrees to dismiss the remaining counts of the Indictment as to the defendant at the time of sentencing.
- The defendant acknowledges and understands that the Government makes no other representations to him regarding fines, whether any other specific offense characteristics apply to his conduct, the restitution owed, his criminal history or criminal history points under Chapter Four or whether additional enhancements or reductions under Chapter Three or Five of the Sentencing Guidelines

4 5

> 6 7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

apply and defendant understands that the government is free to comment and to make recommendations to the court and the probation office regarding those matters.

6. Factual Basis

Defendant will plead guilty because he is in fact guilty of the crimes set forth in Counts 26 and 28 of the indictment. Defendant also agrees that the following are the facts of this case, although he acknowledges that, as to other facts, the parties may disagree:

Between in or about January 2004 to in or about September 2007, in the State and Eastern District of California, defendant MICHAEL ANGELO MUNOZ, along with co-defendants David Crisp, Carl Cole, Julie Farmer, Sneha Mohammadi, Jayson Costa, Jeriel Salinas, Caleb Cole, Jennifer Crisp and others, executed a scheme and artifice to defraud mortgage loan companies and federally insured lending/financial institutions (collectively referred to herein as "Lenders") of money and property, and caused money and property to be obtained from such Lenders, including but not limited to SunTrust Mortgage, Inc., Long Beach Mortgage Company, Fremont Investment & Loan, and Aegis Wholesale Corporation, by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises.

Co-defendants David Crisp and Carl Cole owned and operated Crisp & Cole Real Estate ("CCRE"), a real estate brokerage firm, and Tower Lending, an affiliated mortgage brokerage.

During the period of time set forth above, the defendant was employed with CCRE as a licensed real estate agent. In furtherance of the scheme to defraud, defendant purchased and/or refinanced real properties, including the properties located at 10322 Ashbourne Dr., 12008 Stonington St., 10800 Stratton Ct., and 8751 Hickory Hills, all in Bakersfield, CA. In order to qualify for these loans, the defendant knowingly made material misstatements and/or omitted relevant and material information in the loan applications he submitted to The defendant knew and expected that the the Lenders. Lenders would reasonably rely on such misstatements and omissions in approving the funding of the mortgage loans for defendant's purchases of real property.

The defendant's misstatements and omissions included, but were not limited to: misstatements concerning his income and his outstanding liabilities (including his liabilities with respect to other real properties) and misstatements that he would use the properties as owner-occupied residences when in fact he had no intent to reside in the properties.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

defendant also failed to disclose to the Lenders that he was acting as a "straw buyer" for CCRE and that he did not intend to make the mortgage payments for these properties. Other co-defendants frequently caused payments to be made for a time on these and other loans in order to keep the loans temporarily current or current enough to avoid immediate foreclosure, so that the defendants could resell or refinance the properties again thereafter for a higher value and skim more equity out of the property through the subsequent transaction. Through such loan payments, defendant and the co-defendants sought to conceal from the Lenders that the defendant, as the borrower, had insufficient income and assets to support the loan payments, that the loans were funded on the basis of false information, and that the loans were used for the benefit of defendants.

On loans that MUNOZ and other co-defendants caused to be funded for properties in Kern County, the defendant caused the Recorder's Office to deposit and mail Grant Deeds and Deeds of Trust corresponding to each transaction, to be sent and delivered by the U.S. Postal Service according to the directions indicated thereon. These mailings were in each case in furtherance of the scheme to defraud. The mailings served to notify and assure the Lenders that the conveyance of the properties and the recording of the transactions had occurred properly, and that the Lender's interest in each case was secured. Additionally, the Lender could not sell their completed loans on the secondary market until they had received their filed deed of trust which ensured that their loan had been secured and was available for resale on the market.

On or about the dates listed below, within the State and Eastern District of California, and elsewhere, for purposes of carrying out the aforementioned scheme and artifice to defraud, defendant MICHAEL ANGELO MUNOZ, with the intent to defraud, caused mail matter to be placed in a post office or an authorized depository for mail matter, to be sent and delivered by the United States Postal Service, and knowingly caused to be delivered by mail, as follows:

- (i) On or about November 2, 2006, MICHAEL ANGELO MUNOZ knowingly caused to be delivered by mail from the Kern County Recorder's Office to Washington Mutual Bank, a Deed of Trust, document number 0206273019, securing a loan from Washington Mutual Bank in the amount of \$641,600 for the purchase of the real property at 10800 Stratton Court, Bakersfield, California.
- (ii) On or about November 10, 2006, MICHAEL ANGELO MUNOZ knowingly caused to be delivered by mail from the Kern County Recorder's Office to Aegis Wholesale, a Deed of Trust, document number 0206279771, securing a loan from Aegis Wholesale in the amount of \$559,200 for the purchase of the real property at 8751 Hickory Hills Avenue, Bakersfield, California.

5 6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

25

2627

28

The defendant also provided services to CCRE as a real estate agent in furtherance of the scheme to defraud the Lenders in part by using straw buyers to acquire real properties. These properties were nominally owned in the names of straw buyers, but were controlled by the defendants and held for the benefit of CCRE and the defendants. The defendant received a commission for rendering real estate broker services in connection with these "straw purchase" transactions, including transactions involving other defendants and CCRE employees for properties located at 12706 Lanai Ave., 627 A St., and 10613 Prairie Stone Place, all in Bakersfield, CA.

At all relevant times herein, in carrying out these actions, the defendant and the co-defendants acted with the intent to defraud. In total, the defendant defrauded Lenders of approximately \$1,931,762 attributable to his role in the scheme to defraud.

7. Potential Sentence

The following is the maximum potential sentence which defendant faces as to Counts 26 and 28, respectively:

(a) Imprisonment.

Maximum: Twenty (20) years.

(b) Fine.

Maximum: \$250,000.

- (c) Both such fine and imprisonment.
- (d) Restitution Mandatory.
- (e) Term of Supervised Release:

Maximum: Three (3) years.

(Should the defendant violate any of the terms of his supervised release, he can be returned to prison for the period of supervised release actually imposed by the Court or two (2) years, whichever is less.)

(f) Penalty Assessment.

Mandatory: One Hundred dollars (\$100.00).

8. Waiver of Rights

Defendant understands that by pleading guilty he surrenders

certain rights, including the following:

- (a) If defendant persisted in a plea of not guilty to the charges against him, he would have the right to be represented by an attorney at all stages of the proceedings, and would have a right to a public and speedy trial. The trial could be either a jury trial or a trial by a judge sitting without a jury. Defendant has a right to a jury trial. However, in order that the trial be conducted by the judge sitting without a jury, defendant, the government and the judge all must agree that the trial be conducted by the judge without a jury.
- (b) If the trial were a jury trial, the jury would be composed of twelve lay persons selected at random. Defendant and his attorney would have a say in who the jurors would be by removing prospective jurors for cause where actual bias or other disqualification is shown, or without cause by exercising peremptory challenges. The jury would have to agree unanimously before it could return a verdict of either guilty or not guilty. The jury would be instructed that defendant is presumed innocent and that it could not convict him unless, after hearing all the evidence, it was persuaded of his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.
- (c) If the trial were held before a judge without a jury, the judge would find the facts and determine, after hearing all the evidence, whether or not he was persuaded of the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.
- (d) At a trial, whether by a jury or a judge, the government would be required to present its witnesses and other evidence against defendant. Defendant would be able to confront those government witnesses and his attorney would be able to cross-examine them. In

turn, defendant could present witnesses and other evidence on his own behalf. If the witnesses for defendant would not appear voluntarily, he could require their attendance through the subpoena power of the Court. At trial, the defendant would also have the right to assistance of legal counsel. If he could not afford legal counsel, one would be appointed for him by the court at no expense to him.

(e) At a trial, defendant would have a privilege against self-incrimination so that he could decline to testify, and no inference of guilt could be drawn from this refusal to testify. Defendant understands that by pleading guilty he is waiving all of the rights set forth above and defendant's attorney has explained those rights to him and the consequences of her waiver of those rights.

9. Questions by Court

Defendant understands that if the court questions him under oath, on the record and in the presence of counsel, about the offense(s) to which he has pleaded guilty, his answers, if false, may later be used against him in a prosecution for perjury.

10. Entire Agreement

This plea of guilty is freely and voluntarily made and not the result of force or threats or of promises apart from those set forth in this plea agreement. There have been no representations or promises from anyone as to what sentence this Court will impose.

11. Court not a Party

It is understood by the parties that the sentencing court is neither a party to nor bound by this agreement and the sentencing judge is free to impose the maximum penalties as set forth in paragraph 7. Further, in making its sentencing decision, the Court may take into consideration any and all facts and circumstances

Case 1:11-cr-00026-LJO Document 243 Filed 11/07/13 Page 15 of 15

concerning the criminal activities of defendant, including activities which may not have been charged in the indictment.

12. Presentence Report

Defendant understands that the United States Probation Office is not a party to this agreement and will conduct an independent investigation of defendant's activities and his background. It will then prepare a presentence report which it will submit to the Court as its independent sentencing recommendation. In addition, the Government will fully apprise the Probation Office, as well as the Court, of the full and true nature, scope and extent of the defendant's criminal activities, including information on his background and criminal history.

13

14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

Dated: 10-31-2013

BENJAMIN B. WAGNER United States Attorney

16

15

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

Dated: 10-30-13

Dated: 10-30-13

By:

HENRY Z. CARBAJAL III CHRISTOPHER D. BAKER

Assistant U.S. Attorneys

MICHAEL ANGELO MUNOZ

Defendant

CARL FALLER

Attorney for Defendant

Memorandum of Plea Agreement